Visual Fields in the management of glaucoma

COPE Course ID: 85634-GL

Andrew J Di Mattina, OD, FAAO Chief of Optometry, Greenville HCC

Disclosures

• I have no conflicts of interest in this lecture.

Why run Humphrey visual field testing?

• Glaucoma

 Visual field loss is a hallmark of glaucomatous optic nerve damage in moderate to advanced disease.

Neurological disease

 Neurological disease can cause visual field loss and confound results in patients who also manifest glaucomatous field loss.

• Retinal disease

 Some retinal diseases will manifest with visual field loss. The visual field will not be the primary way to diagnose retinal disease, but the field may provide results which point to a retinal cause.

Principles of perimetry

- The normal visual field extends 90 degrees temporally, 60 degrees nasal and superiorly, and 70 degrees inferiorly.
- The visual field is often represented by the "Hill of Vision" with the height of the hill representing visual field sensitivity.



Threshold testing

- The object of Humphrey static perimetry is to measure the different light sensitivities at each tested location.
- Humphrey field testing is STATIC perimetry, Goldmann perimetry is KINETIC.



Questions to ask in perimetry

- 1) Which test do I run?
- 2) Is the test reliable?
- 3) Is there a defect present and is it what I expected?
- 4) If there is a defect, is it progressing?



https://www.freepik.com/free-photos-vectors/people-thinking

Question 1: Which test to run? Selecting a test

SITA Standard:

- 30-2 SS
- 24-2 SS
- 10-2 SS

Sita Fast:

- 30-2 SF
- 24-2 SF
- 10-2 SF

SITA FASTER:

- 24-2 SITA FASTER
- 24C Faster strategy with central points.

Peripheral vision testing

• Full field - 120

Disability:

• Binocular Esterman

30-2 vs 24-2 tests

- 30-2 Measures the sensitivity at 76 locations within 30 degrees of fixation.
- 24-2 consists of the 54 most central points of the 30-2 visual field and the nasal points. Little diagnostic information is lost with this strategy compared to 30-2 and testing time is faster.



SITA algorithm

- The SITA algorithm continuously estimates what the expected threshold is based on the patient's age and neighboring thresholds.
- It can reduce the time necessary to acquire a visual field by up to 50%, and it decreases patient fatigue and increases reliability.



SITA algorithm

 The algorithm continuously measures the patient's reaction time during the test and speeds up or slows down the test accordingly.



Sita Fast

- Threshold values are again repeatedly calculated at all test points during the test as responses are recorded.
- Stimulus intensities are altered in 4 db steps with one reversal at all test points except the 4 primary test points.



A New SITA Perimetric Threshold Testing Algorithm: Construction and a Multicenter Clinical Study

ANDERS HEIIL, VINCENT MICHAEL PATELLA, LUKE X, CHONG, AIKO IWASE, CHRISTOPHER K, LEUNG, ANIA TUULONEN, GARY C. LEE, THOMAS CALLAN, AND BOEL BENGTSSON

• FURPOSE: To describe a new time-saving threshold visual field-testing strategy-Swedish Interactive Thresholding Algorithm (SITA) Faster, which is intended to replace SITA Fast-and to report on a clinical evaluation of this new strategy.

 DESIGN: Description and validity analysis for modifications applied to SITA Fast.

• METHODS: Five centers tested 1 eye of each of 126 glaucoma and glaucoma suspect patients with SITA Faster, SITA Fast, and SITA Standard at each of 2 visits. Outcomes included test time, mean deviation, and the visual field index (VFI), significant test points in

probability maps, and intertest threshold variability. · RESULTS: Mean (standard deviation) test times were 171.9 (45.3) seconds for SITA Faster, 247.0 (56.7) for SITA Fast, and 369.5 (64.5) for SITA Standard (P < .001). SITA Faster test times averaged 30.4 % shorter than SITA Fast and 53.5 % shorter than SITA Standard. Mean deviation was similar among all 3 tests. VFI did not differ between SITA Fast and SITA Faster tests, mean difference 0%, but VFI values were 1.2% lower with SITA Standard compared to both SITA Fast (P = .007) and SITA Faster (P = .002). A similar trend was seen with a slightly higher number of significant test points with SITA Standard than with SITA Fast and SITA Faster. All 3 tests had similar test-retest variability over the entire range of threshold values.

· CONCLUSIONS: SITA Faster saved considerable test time, SITA Faster and SITA Fast gave almost identical results. There were small differences between SITA Faster and SITA Standard, of the same character as previously shown for SITA Fast vs SITA Standard. (Am J

AJO.com

Supplemental Material available at AlO.com. Accepted for publication Oct 3, 2018.

From the Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö (A.H., B.B.), Ophrhalmology, Lund University and Staine University Hospital Malmö, Malmö, Sweder; Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc. (V.M.P., G.C.L., T.C.), Dublin, and the School of Optometry and Vision Science Program (LX.C.), University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA; Tajimi Iwase Eye Clinic (A.I.), Tajimi, Japan; Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences (C.K.L.), Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; and the Tays Eye Centre (A.T.), Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland, Inquiries to Anders Heijl, Department of Ophthalmology, Skåne

University Hospital, Jan Waldenströms gata 24, SE-21428 Malmö, Sweden: e-mail: andersheiil@med.lu.se

Ophthalmol 2019;198:154-165. © 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).)

2

OMPUTERIZED PERIMETRY STARTED IN THE EARLY 1970s. Careful theoretical calculations and pilot studies on patients were performed initially.¹⁻ Early clinical use and clinical studies of computerized perimetry usually involved supraliminal screening tests.4 ⁶ At that time, manual kinetic and manual static perimetry were perimetric criterion standards, but automation of threshold perimetry certainly was a goal Clinical studies of computerized threshold tests would soon follow.7-10

Clinical use of computerized threshold perimetry became more common in the early 1980s. Test times for threshold tests available at that time were long-usually 12 to 20 minutes per eye.¹¹⁻¹⁴ This was tiring for patients and limited the number of tests that could be performed, and there was a strong desire for more rapid testing. Threshold tests could be shortened by simply testing fewer points, by using larger step sizes, and by performing fewer repetitions.7.10 However such changes generally decreased test quality; there was a trade-off between accuracy and efficiency.

We began developing the Swedish Interactive Thresholding Algorithm (SITA) strategies in the latter half of the 1980s. Our goal was to reduce test time without loss of test quality. We used a Bayesian prior model and iterative maximum posterior probability estimation of threshold values in real time, which made it possible to interrupt testing at each tested location at predetermined levels of test certainty. We also used a new method to calculate false positive (FP) answers and an improved timing algorithm to shorten test time.^{16,17} Two SITA tests were developed: SITA Standard,12 which was intended to replace the original Full Threshold test, and SITA Fast,18 which was intended to replace Fastpac.

The new SITA tests were compared with the original strategies and performed well. Test times were reduced drastically, by about 50% for SITA Standard as compared with Full Threshold and also about 50% for SITA Fast compared with Fastpac, without worsening intertest variability. 12,14,18 Threshold sensitivity values were

0002-9394

© 2018 THE AUTHOR(S). PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER INC. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2018.10.010

Sita Faster

- Cardinal points start at age-matched values, not 25dB
- Tests primary points once instead of twice
- Testing perimetrically blind points only once instead of twice
- Discontinued false negatives
- Used gaze tracker as blind spot monitor

SITA Faster vs Fast and Standard

- SITA Faster test times are approximately
 - 36.1% shorter than SF
 - 60.7% shorter than SS.
 - MD values were lower with SITA Faster compared with SF and SS.
 - Mean PSD and VF index (VFI) showed no significant differences between the algorithms.
 - The number of points depressed at *p*<0.5% was less in SITA Faster than in both SF and SS.

• Detection of early cases with SITA Faster is questionable.

 Patyel S Thulasidas M. Comparison of 24-2 faster, fast, and standard programs of Swedish interactivethreshold algorithm of Humphrey field analyzer for perimetry in patients with manifest and suspect glaucoma. J

Glaucoma. September 3, 2020 •



The Printout

- Reliability indices
- Test duration
- Test strategy
- Threshold values
- Grayscale map
- Total deviation
- Pattern deviation
- Glaucoma hemifield test (GHT)
- Visual field indices
- Gaze Tracking

How do we read the printout?



How do we read the printout?



Reliability Indices

- Fixation losses
 - The FIRST thing the test does (after initiating Gaze tracker) is to map the blind spot.
 - The machine guesses where the blind spot should be and projects stimuli to that area. It maps the blind spot when the patient does NOT respond to the stimuli presented.
 - This index is skewed to the beginning of the test with ~half of the trails in the first 2-3 minutes of testing. May miss losses later in the test when patient is fatigued.
 - After the blind spot is mapped, the test begins. If the patient has good fixation (by observation) and they have 2 fixation losses within the first 3 checks, then remap the blind spot.

Gaze tracking





Poor fixation with a large number of eye movements



- This is an entirely subjective measure.
- No standard to dictate whether the test is reliable or unreliable.

Which Gaze tracker is the most accurate?



Courtesy of Peter Lalle, OD, FAAO

Now with 3 degree line inserted



Courtesy of Peter Lalle, OD, FAAO

Question 2: Is it reliable? Reliability Indices

• False positives

- The test will pause and see if the patient still presses the button without a stimulus presented.
- Have a low threshold for high false positives as they greatly reduce the reliability of your visual fields. Most text will say over 15-20% is unacceptable, but I find anything over 10% produces a poor field.
- Different strategies show different false positive rates:
 - Lowest: SITA standard
 - Highest: SITA Faster

OS Single	e Field Analysis		Central	212 Threshold Test
Fixation Monitor: Fixation Target: Fixation Losses: False POS Errors: False NEG Errors: Test Duration: Fovea:	Gaze/Blind Spot Central 10/12 XX : 38% XX : 33% 04:53 Off	Stimulus: Background: Strategy: Pupil Diameter: Visual Acuity: Rx: -0.75 DS -1.50 DC	III, White 31.5 asb SITA Fast X 174	Date: Nov 27, 2013 Time: 8:16 AM Age: 61
30°	42 32 29 49 33 31 32 38 41 43 34 34 41 50 35 36 35 31 11 37 37 34 32 29 35 35 33 30 32 25 22 27 17 6	29 33 41 36 33 32 34 33 30 34 32 32 28 23 31 32 28 32 34 32		30°
15 5 21 4 2 10 11 12 3 11 4 3 1 5 5 2 -1 3 3 0 1 -6 -3 -13 Total D	2 2 2 3 12 3 5 3 3 2 2 1 1 7 2 -1 0 -2 -4 1 -1 1 -1 3 -24 3 Deviation	6 -5 -7 -7 11 -5 -7 -7 -6 3 0 2 3 -6 -7 -5 -6 -7 2 -6 -6 -7 -8 -8 -9 -8 -5 -5 -8 -10 -9 -11 -7 -11 -7 -6 -9 -10 -9 -11 -10 -9 -15 -19 -8 -5 -13-22 -33 -7 Pattern Deviation	-3 14 GHT: VFI: MD24-2: PSD24-2:	Abnormally High Sensitivity 91% 1.96 dB 6.44 dB P < 0.5%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· :: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2:	. *** Excessiv	ve High False Positives ** ∷ P < 5% ☆ P < 2% ★ P < 1% ■ P < 0.5%

متعر بالعبقين جسياها ومنتعا يتعايته استختص فيستبارات

High FPs ruin the reliability

rhr O Θť

12 ·

法国国国站 说: 论 数

10 × 10 × ×

· · & · ■ ·

.

.

221∎

Visual Acuity GHT

Visual Acuity GHT

11 11 × × × ×

• @∎ :: ∎ • •

*** Excessive High False Positives **

* * * 2 2 8 8 2 2 ::

*** Excessive High False Positives ***

Abnormally High Sensitivity

S 2

Outside Normal Limits π⊢C Θ(

Jul 25, 2019 3:16 PM SITA Fast			<u>₩</u> Q Q	Jul 25, 2019 3:11 PM SITA Fast				<u>+</u> +Q ⊕(_
	51 27 24 50 28 50 51 29 24 20 26 50 51 51 29 24 20 53 54 52 31 50 22 26 30 34 45 30 30 28 29 50 35 44 35 36 30 36 42 20 50 35 44 35 35 36 32 28 28 20 31 30 28 28 31 30 28	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		28 29 00 30 27 30 30 29 24 3 29 31 30 28 24 3 29 31 30 28 29 1 30 31 31 32 33 32 27 31 32 30 22 31 25 32 30 22 31 30 32 31 31 32	22 .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
FL 3/11 XX FP 50% XX FN 24% Pupil diameter 3.6 mm *	VFI 99 % MD24-2 0.8 dB PS024-2 2.28 dB P < 5 % Fovea Off	Visual Acuity GHT	*** Excessive High False Positives *** Outside Normal Limits	FL 7/10 X0 FP 23% X0 FN 09 Pupil diameter 3.8 mm	X VFI X MD24-2 6 PSD24-2 2.45 c * Fovea	96 % -0.39 dB HB P < 2 % Off GHT	*** Excessive High	i False Positives *** side Normal Limits		
Nov 25, 2019 124 PM 1517A hast	29 27 24 26 27 26 29 20 28 28 24 30 21 29 20 24 26 24 30 28 31 37 26 24 26 24 30 2 34 37 37 26 25 24 24 30 32 34 33 32 31 31 33 30 32 31 32 31 32 31 31 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 34	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····································	Nov 25, 2019 1:19 PM SIA Fast	Z7 28 30 50 26 29 20 </th <th>33 ::: - - 31 32 - Q2 - 40 32 :: - - 22 23 :: :: - 27 28 - - - 29 - :: - - 29 - :: - -</th> <th>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</th> <th>·····································</th> <th></th> <th></th>	33 ::: - - 31 32 - Q2 - 40 32 :: - - 22 23 :: :: - 27 28 - - - 29 - :: - - 29 - :: - -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····································		
FL 4/11 XX FP 15% XX FN 0% Pupil diameter 3.8 mm *	VFI 96 % MD24-2 -1.24 dB PSD24-2 2.69 dB P < 2 % Fovea Off	Visual Acuity GHT	*** Excessive High False Positives *** Outside Normal Limits	FL 3/1 FP 30 FN Pupil diameter 4.5 m	n 10, 2021 11:22 AM SITA Fest	29 27 28 27 50 50 50 50 50 52 30 50 52 51 50 50 50 30 50 52 51 50 50 20 21 28 26 30 54 50 52 51 50 51 25 52 51 25 50 24 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 28 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 36		₩ Q Q 	Jun 10, 2021 11:14 AM SITA Fast	20 20 20 31 27 28 29 28 52 20 25 70 29 9 29 31 18 24 26 25 50 31 32 28 31 1 24 26 30 31 2 34 31 1 34 32 32 31 31 32 32 31 31 32 32 31 31 32 32 31 31 32 32 31 31 32 32 31 31 32 32 31 31 32 32 31 31 32 32 31 31 32 32 31 31 32 33 31 31 32 33 31 31 32 33 31 31 32 33 31 31 32 33 31 31 31 31 31 31
				FI FF F1	L 9/12 XX P 25% XX N 0% upil diameter 4.1 mm *	VFI 98 % MD24-2 -0.21 dB P5D24-2 2.18 dB P < 5 % Forea Off	Visual Acuity GHT	*** Excessive High False Positives *** Outside Normal Limits	FL 2/11 FP 30% XX FN 0% Pupil diameter 4.1 mm *	VFI 95 % MD24-2 -1.43 dB P < 10 % PSD24-2 3.96 dB P < 0.5 % Fovea Of
					2000 AUGU ILGO MA ILGUA HASER	47 49 32 29 47 48 50 51 52 46 52 64 50 52 53 46 52 64 50 52 53 52 53 46 52 64 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 50 50 57 58 57 56 57 56 57 58 57 58 57 56 57 58 57 58 57 58 57 58 50			PED 3, 2022 1940 PM I SITA Paster	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
				FL F	L 0/0 P 44% XX N 8% upil diameter 4.2 mm *	VFI 87 % MD24-2C 7.88 dB PSD24-2C 6.21 dB P < 0.5 %	Visual Acuity GHT	*** Excessive High False Positives *** Abnormally High Sensitivity	FL 0/0 FP 48% XX FN 0% Pupil diameter 4.3 mm *	VFI 98 9 MD24-2C 1.37 df PSD24-2C 3.45 dB P < 1 9 Fovea Of

Had to follow this patient objectively





Exam Date	Nov/27/2020	Nov/27/2020	Oct/5/2021	Feb/3/2022	Jun/6/2022	Oct/14/2022
RNFL Thickness (3.5 mm)	CC 17 (V2C)	CC 77 (APS) NS 47 73 75% (2%) N 6 7 (0%) (10%) N 8 8 (10%)	CC 77 (JPS) N G T O(00%) (2%) N G T O(00%) (5%) N N R O(00%) (5%) CC 77 (JPS) CS 7 CS 7	CC 77 (JPS)	CC 77 (APS)	CC 7.7 (AP8)
Difference to Selected Reference		NS TS 	NS TS 0 N G T 1 0 2	NS TS -0 -1 -1 -3 1	NS TS -2 TS 0 N G T -1 -1 -1	NS 13 -5 10 N G T 1 -1 0

NI 1

NI 12

NI 17

NI 1 -1

Reliability Indices

- False Negatives
 - The test will repeat a stimulus at a point where the patient had previously seen a stimulus of that same intensity
 - These can indicate that a patient has become fatigued with testing and is less attentive to stimuli
 - High False negatives are also NORMAL to see on moderate to severe glaucomatous field loss.
 - This is NOT tested on SITA FASTER



- Mean Deviation:
 - Average loss for the ENTIRE field with points closest to fixation weighted as more severe
 - Mean Deviation is o DB in normal fields and -31-35 DB in perimetrically blind fields.
 - If this number is negative, it does NOT always mean glaucoma.
 - Could be from refractive error
 - Cataract
 - Corneal opacity
 - Could be glaucoma....

- Pattern Standard Deviation
 - A measure of focal loss in the field
 - A higher PSD indicates greater localized loss up to about 12 db.
 - PSD declines as glaucoma becomes more symmetrical.
 - PSD is zero DB in normal fields and blind fields
 - Should not be used for progression.



Maleki, Arash & Lamba, Neerav & Ma, Lina & Lee, Stacey & Schmidt, Alexander & Foster, C. (2017). Rituximab as a monotherapy or in combination therapy for the treatment of non-paraneoplastic autoimmune retinopathy. Clinical Ophthalmology. Volume 11. 377-385. 10.2147/OPTH.S120162.

• Glaucoma Hemifield test (GHT):

- Evaluates the Asymmetry between the superior and inferior visual fields.
- Cluster of points (predetermined) are evaluated against a normative database and will be determined to be WNL, borderline or ONL.



Visual field index (VFI)

- A global metric that represents the entire visual field as a single number
- 100% equals a full field and 0% is a perimetrically blind eye
- Estimated by calculating the agecorrected defect depth at the test points that are significantly depressed in the pattern deviation probability map.
- VFI is plotted vs patient age to show progressive loss.



Total Deviation

 Identifies test locations that are outside normal limits. Threshold sensitivity is compared to age-matched normal values at each point. These are used to produce the total deviation map.

Factors that affect total deviation:

- Refractive error
- Media opacities
- Pupil size



Pattern Deviation

 Shows sensitivity losses after an adjustment has been made to remove any generalized depression or elevation in the hill of vision.

• Factors out:

- Refractive error
- Media opacities
- Pupil size



Factors affecting total vs pattern deviation

- Decreased total deviation and normal pattern deviation
 - Media opacity, refractive error, small pupil size
 - Hill of vision adjusted "up"
- Normal total deviation and decreased pattern deviation
 - High false positives on the test "trigger happy patient"
 - Hill of vision adjusted "down"
- *If your patient is pseudophakic, you can use the total deviation**













- Same patient. Same day.
- The test was run twice
- Defects on the first test were from fatigue and learning curve.

Courtesy of Peter Lalle, OD, FAAO

Question 3: Is there a defect? Types of glaucomatous visual field defects



Visual field defects



Classification of VF Defects in OHTS Keltner, Johnson, Cello et al. Arch Ophthalmol. 2003;121:643-650

Visual field defects

- Cluster defects
 - Katz cluster criteria: 3 adjacent points on the pattern deviation in a single hemifield, one of which must have a P value of <1
 - Using cluster defects can help spot glaucomatous VF loss earlier, but be wary of over-calling glaucomatous loss using this method.



Question 4: Is the defect progressing? Visual field progression

Ways visual field progress

- New defect
- Defect gets deeper
- Defect gets wider
- Which method of field progression is the MOST common



Glaucoma Specialists | University Eye Specialist (universityeyespecialists.com)

Visual field progression

• Questions to ask in progression:

1. Is this repeatable?

• Visual fields are a SUBJECTIVE test. You should confirm progression with at least one more field prior to changing therapy.

2. What baseline am I using?

 If treatment is initiated, then the baselines MUST be re-set. Otherwise, you are measuring progression from an untreated or under-treated eye



Variable depth nasal step OU

Visual field progression – Event Analysis

- Guided progression analysis (GPA) is EVENT analysis
 - The first two fields are averaged and used as a baseline. So remember TWO fields are needed for baseline.
 - The machine will look at the deviation of the field from baseline in the pattern deviation
 - Points are then classified as:
 - One time significant progression (open triangle)
 - Two-times significant progression (half-filled triangle)
 - Three or more times significant progression (filled triangle.

04-10-2000 SI	A-STANDARD	GHT:	DUTSIDE	NORM	LLI	MITS							1								1					
10000																										
	达 林林 林林			-	- 1						-2	1	-4	-3						2	х	*	2			
	34			挞	-	🛠				-5	-11	8	-11	-1	3				Х	۵	•	Δ	•			
	AND		•	•	* 3	☆			-7	-6	3	1	1	-2	-1	-2			Δ		×	•	•		8	
2 , 3, 3, 3, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5,	···;\;\;\;\;\;\;\;\;\;\;\;\;\;\;\;\;\;\			•	* 3	×. 3	k ::	\$2.	-2		-1	2	1	0	1	12	2			•	*	•	•		X	•
		玆	\$2	•	÷	• •			-3		-3	-2	-1	1	-1	-5	2	•		۵	•	•	•	*	۵	٠
2 .3.3.3.3.3.1.1		效	• •	•	*		\$		-1	3	-1	-1	2	2	-2	-4							•		۵	
Ø. A.	οιοιοιοιος λ ^{οτο}		• •		× .	• •				0	-1	1	3	4	2				•	•			•	1		
Ø.2.2	:2:2:2:				÷ .	5					-7	8	1	2						۵	2	÷.				
FUVEN: UFF		FL: 8/8	74.00					FNS	4	74							FP:	8	7.							
HU: -7.89 UB P (0	.54		.74 UB	P (8.5%						_		_		_				_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
19-08-2002 51	H-STANDARD	GHT:	OUTSIDE	NORM	AL LI	MITS							1									Ĩ.				
5151515	SIZER										1	2	-3	-7							x					
	20000				-	Ξ.				-4	-4	-6	-18	-17	-12				x				٨	٨		
									-6	-10	-4	-6	-14	-23	-13	-12		4			٨	~	٨	٨	х	
					17				3		-1	1	8	-11	-13	-11	-11						۵	۵	x	۵
(2):		2	::						-1		-2	8	1	1	1	4	-13			•		ŀ				۵
			**			a 1			3	-2	-4	-1	0	1	1	3			\sim	۵					4	
: ^, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^, ^,	10000000000000000000000000000000000000					8 B				0	-2	-2	0	2	1				34							
888	-A-AA (2:A-A		\$	*	\$2.	2					-4	-7	-4	0						4	۵					
FOVEA: OFF	1	FL: 0/0						FN:	0	X.			5				FP:	8	x.			4				
MD: -11.24 D8 P (6	3.5%	PSD:	9.49 DB	P <	0.5%									PO	SSIE	LE P	ROGRESS	ION								
										_	-	_	-		-	_			-		-	-		-		-
24-89-2004 SI	TA-STANDARD	GHT:	OUTSIDE	NORM	RL LI	MITS																2				
in constant																										
	2222			-	-						-5	0	-7	-9						٠	х	х	Х			
										2	-11	-9	-11	-9	-12				Х	۸	х	۸	۸	Δ		
	AND DECISION OF			-	-				-2	-3	-11	-10	-12	-10	-15	-8		1		۵	۸		۸	۵	X	
				::	玆		_		-2	_	-6	-2	-2	-6	-15	-3	-6	•		۵		·	۸		X	*
A-A-		::	•	•		• •		林	0		1	3	2	2	3	-7	-4			10		•	12	2	۵	12
N CAAAA			•	•		• •	S - 54		-8	-3	2	0	1	0	4	3		۵	٠	•	•	•	•	2	•	
****	000000		•	•	•	•				-9	0	-1	0	1	0				۵	•	9		÷.	аў. Г		
(22)	2222		1	•	\$::					-3	-2	-4	-3						57		۵	•			
FUVEH: UFF		FL: 0/17						FN:	6	%							FP:	0	%							
nu: -14.27 DB P (0	1.5%	PSD: 8	.54 DB	P (0.5%									LD	ELY	PRO	GRESSIO	N								

Visual field progression – Event Analysis

- Guided progression analysis (GPA) is EVENT analysis
 - A GPA alert: "possible progression" will be on the field when the same three or more points are flagged two tests in a row
 - A GPA alert: "Likely progression" will be on the field when the same three or more points are flagged three or more tests in a row

0 0	84-10-2000	SI	A-STANDARD	GHT:	OUTSIC	E NORI	IAL L	INIT	S								í -									Ĕ				
9-09			PENIN				L								2			2							v					
NUMBER OFF FL: B/B FL: B/B <td></td> <td>旗门</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>- 1</td> <td>*</td> <td>E</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-5</td> <td>-11</td> <td>8</td> <td>-11</td> <td>-1</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td>٨</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		旗门			- 1	*	E		0					-5	-11	8	-11	-1	3				x	٨	•					
1 1	N	× *					17	*					-7	-6	3	1	1	-2	-1	-2			•						10	
SM M2 M2 1 1 -1 -5 2 -2 -4 -<		A.A A						\$2	林	::	\$		-2		-1	2	1	0	1	12	2			•		•	•	3	X	
38 - - - - 1 3 -		2:2:2:		2	1	2 ·	ŀ	•	•		::		-3		-3	-2	-1	1	-1	-5	2	•		۵	•	•	•	3	۵	
B -1 1 3 4 2 -	Summer .			1	ŧ -					\$			-1	3	-1	-1	2	2	-2	-4		2	X				343	×.	۵	
VEE: 0FF FL: 0/0 FL: 0/0 FL: 0/0 FL: 0/0 FL: 0/0 0: -7.89 10 P < 0.5X	0	X-2:::	λ				•							0	-1	1	3	4	2				٠	•		•	•			
DUER: OFF FL: 8/8 FH: 4 X FP: 8 X 0: -7.89 DB P (0.5X) PSD: 5.74 DB P (0.5X) PSD: 5.74 DB P (0.5X) 5-80-2002 STTP-STINUORD GHT: OUTSIDE NORMAL LINITS 4 -4 -5 -12 -4 -6 5 -11 -11 -13 -11 -11 -14 -3 5 -11		2:2:2:	:2:4:2		1		ŀ.	5							-7	8	1	2						۵	2	1	1			
VICH 1 (1) PSD: 5,74 DB P (0.5X) PSD: 5,74 DB P (0.5X) PSD: 5,74 DB P (0.5X) 9-00-2002 SITE-STRNORD CHT: OUTSIDE NORROL <limits< td=""> 1 2 -7 - X X A</limits<>	EQUED: DEE			EI + 9/0								EN •		~							ED.	0	7							
5-88-2882 SITE-STANDARD GHT: OUTSIDE NORMEL LIMITS - 4 - 4 - 4 - 6 - 18 - 17 - 12 X A - A A A A A A X - A A A A A X A A A A	MD: -7.89 DB	P (0	.5%	PSD:	5.74 DI	8 P (8.5	X.				rn.	4	h								0	~							
9-88-2882 STR-STRNDRD CHT: DUTSIDE NORMAL LINITS 4 4 4 6 -18 -1 -1 -2 -7 - X A								_		_		_	_	_	_		_		_				_		_	-	_		_	
1 2 -3 -7 - X A A A A A A A X A	19-08-2002	ST	10-STONNOPN	CHT	: OUTST	IF NOR	NOI	1 THT	21																					
1 2 3 -7 - X X - 4 4 4 6 -18 -17 -12 X A - A <t< td=""><td>10 00 2002</td><td></td><td>In o minorite</td><td>uiii</td><td></td><td>/L 100</td><td>ľ</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	10 00 2002		In o minorite	u iii		/L 100	ľ	-																		1				
W2 -4 -4 -4 -6 -18 -17 -12 X A <t< td=""><td>and</td><td></td><td>1000</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>-3</td><td>-7</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>×</td><td>х</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	and		1000		1										1	2	-3	-7						×	х					
1 -		1	1.1.1.1.1.1			2								-4	-4	-6	-18	-17	-12				Х	Δ			۵	۵		
12 12 1	*我我		Constanting.										-6	-10	-4	-6	-14	-23	-13	-12				•	۵	۵	۵	۵	Х	
w2 i: ·		A A H	112/1122/	:	:	• •	ŀ						3		-1	1	8	-11	-13	-11	-11	•	<u>.</u>		•		۵	۵	X	۵
3 -2 -4 -1 0 1 1 3 -		2-2-11 2-2-11			2	:: ·		•		•			-1		-2	8	1	1	1	4	-13			•	•		*	•	1	۵
11 1		2 2			林				•				3	-2	-4	-1	8	1	1	3				۵	•					
EXERCISES EZ: 55 EZ: 55 EZ: 55 EZ: 55 EZ: 55 FN: 8 X FP: 8 X EUCER: 0FF FL: 8/8 FN: 8 X POSSIELE PROCRESSION FP: 8 X 24-89-2004 SITR-STRADARD CHT: OUTSIDE NORMOL LINITS -5 6 -7 -9 - X X 24-89-2004 SITR-STRADARD CHT: OUTSIDE NORMOL LINITS -5 6 -7 -9 - X X A <	:::A;A ;A;A		1111111111112A 122:2111112A) (K.						0	-2	-2	0	2	1							ľ	٠	•		
EUCER: OFF FL: 0/0 FL: 0/0 FN: 0/2 PD: 0/00000000000000000000000000000000000		<i>6363</i> 63	S: 2: 2			× 7									-4	-7	-4	0						•	۵					
MD: -11.24 DB P (8.5X POS: 8,49 DB P (8.5X POS: 8,49 DB P (8.5X 24-89-2004 STIR-STRHORAD GHT: OUTSIDE NORHOL LINTS -5 0 -7 -9 - X X X 24-89-2004 STIR-STRHORAD GHT: OUTSIDE NORHOL LINTS -5 0 -7 -9 - X X X A A A X X A A A X X A A A X X A </td <td>FOVEA: OFF</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>FL: 8/</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>I.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>FN:</td> <td>Ø</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>l,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>FP</td> <td></td> <td>8 %</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>L.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	FOVEA: OFF			FL: 8/	0		I.					FN:	Ø	X			l,				FP		8 %			L.				
24-89-2884 SITR-STRINGARD CHT: OUTSIDE NORMEL LIMITS -5 0 -7 -9 - x x x -2 -3 -11<-9	MD: -11.24 DB	P(B	.5%	PSD:	9.49 0	BP	0.5	5%										PC	SSIB	LE F	ROGRES	SION	3							
24-89-2804 SITA-STRNDARD CHT: OUTSIDE NORMEL LIMITS								_	-	_			-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_			-		_				_	
FOURE: OFF FL: B/17 FL: B/17 FN: B, 54 UB P < 0.5X	24-09-2004	SI	TA-STANDARD	GHT:	OUTSI	E NOR	HĄL I	INIT	S																	ç.				
1 -9 -11 -9 -12 X X A A -2 -3 -11 -12 -12 X X A A A -2 -3 -11 -12	1.1	122	4444					-							-5	0	-7	-9							х	Х	Х			
-2 -3 -11 -12 -12 -12 -13 -5 -8 - A A A A X - -2 -3 -11 -12 -12 -12 -15 -8 - A A A A X - -2 -6 -2 2 -6 -15 -3 -6 - A - - A A A X - - A A A X - A A A X - A A A X - A A A X														2	-11	-9	-11	-9	-12				X		х	۸		۵		
NUMBER NUMER NUMER NUMER <td>88<u>4</u>8</td> <td>***</td> <td>1000 Contraction (1997)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-2</td> <td>-3</td> <td>-11</td> <td>-10</td> <td>-12</td> <td>-10</td> <td>-15</td> <td>-8</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>۵</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>۵</td> <td>X</td> <td></td>	88 <u>4</u> 8	***	1000 Contraction (1997)					-		-			-2	-3	-11	-10	-12	-10	-15	-8		2		۵				۵	X	
Image: State of File File <td< td=""><td></td><td>2222</td><td>000000000000000000000000000000000000000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>棼</td><td>_</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>_</td><td>-2</td><td>-</td><td>-6</td><td>-2</td><td>-2</td><td>-6</td><td>-15</td><td>-3</td><td>-6</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>۵</td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td>X</td><td></td></td<>		2222	000000000000000000000000000000000000000				棼	_		-	-	_	-2	-	-6	-2	-2	-6	-15	-3	-6	•		۵		•			X	
Point For the state For the state <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ľ</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>-</td> <td>發</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>-7</td> <td>-4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>12</td> <td>۵</td> <td>10</td>							ľ		•	-	發		0		1	3	2	2	3	-7	-4			1	1	1	1	12	۵	10
Towns	* 88							÷	Ľ,				-8	-3	2	8	1	0	4	3		Δ	ċ	1	3	Ľ	1	2	·	
FOVER: 0F FL: 8/17 FN: 6 % FP: 8 %	1	×.	2000002		-		L.		1					-9	8	-1	0	1	8				۵			Ľ		1.4		
F0VER:0FF FL:8/17 FN:6% FP:8%	,	K.M.M.N	eracac.			6) (S)	1								-3	-2	-4	-3						<i>*</i>		4				
HD: -14.27 DB P (0.5% PSD: 8.54 DB P (0.5% I TKELY PONCEPSETIN	FOVEA: OFF			FL: 8/1	17							FN:	6	z							FP:	ø	z			1				
	MD: -14.27 DB	P(B	.5%	PSD:	8.54 D	P (8.5	%				- 11.5						L T	KEL Y	PRO	GRESSIO	N								

Visual field progression – Trend Analysis

- Visual field index (VFI) is TREND analysis
 - Remember that VFI is a <u>global</u> <u>metric that represents the entire</u> <u>visual field as a single number</u>
 - The VFI is plotted against the patient's age.
 - After 5 fields in at least a 2 year time period, a trend analysis plot will be established using linear regression.



Visual field progression – Trend Analysis

- Visual field index (VFI) is TREND analysis
 - The purpose of trend analysis is to determine how quickly the field is changing
 - This helps identify patients who are fast progressors and are likely to lose vision in their lifetime.



Re-baselining provides perspective

- A new baseline must be defined following significant change in management
- The last two VF (good quality) used to confirm progression can be used in the new baseline



Slide Courtesy of Andrew Rixon, OD, FAAO

1) WGA Consensus Series 8. Progression of Glaucoma. 2011

Trend Vs Event Analysis for progression

- GPA event analysis has been shown to detect progression 6.8 months prior to VFI¹
- Wu et al. found similar sensitivity between trend and event when matched for specificity²
- Complimentary pieces, when combined perform better than individually³





Slide Courtesy of Andrew Rixon, OD, FAAO

- 1) Casas-Llera P, et al. Br J Ophthalmol. 2009 Dec;93(12):1576-9.
- 2) Zhichao Wu, Felipe A. Medeiros. *Trans. Vis. Sci. Tech.* 2018;7(4):20.
- 3) Hu R, Racette L, Chen KS, Johnson CA. Surv Ophthalmol. 2020 Nov-Dec;65(6):639-661

Long Term Fluctuation



"NL" FLUCTUATION

INITIAL DEVIATION

+3 TO -7 db -1 TO -16 db NL TO BLIND

0 -6 -8 to -18

ESTABLISHED GLAUCOMA PTS

Heijl, AJO, 108:p130, 8-89 (FULL THRESHOLD STRATEGY)

ANOTHER reason to repeat fields to confirm progression

Courtesy of Peter Lalle, OD, FAAO

Glaucoma and central VF loss

Perspectives

Challenges to the Common Clinical Paradigm for Diagnosis of Glaucomatous Damage With OCT and Visual Fields

Donald C. Hood^{1,2} and Carlos Gustavo De Moraes²

¹Department of Psychology, Columbia University, New York, New York, United States
²Department of Ophthalmology, Columbia University, New York, New York, United States

Correspondence: Donald C. Hood, Department of Psychology, 406 Schermerhorn Hall, 1190 Amsterdam Avenue, MC 5501, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA; dch3@columbia.edu

Submitted: December 23, 2017 Accepted: December 26, 2017

Citation: Hood DC, De Moraes CG. Challenges to the common clinical paradigm for diagnosis of glaucomatous damage with OCT and visual fields. *Invest Ophtbalmol Vis Sci.* 2018;59:788-791. https://doi.org/ 10.1167/iovs.17-23713 The most common clinical paradigm (CCP) for diagnosing glaucoma includes a visual field (VF) with a 6° test grid (e.g., the 24-2 or 30-2 test pattern) and an optical coherence tomography (OCT) scan of the optic disc. Furthermore, these tests are assessed based upon quantitative metrics (e.g., the pattern standard deviation [PSD] of the VF and the global retinal nerve fiber thickness of the OCT disc scan). This CCP is facing three challenges. First, the macular region (i.e., $\pm 8^{\circ}$ from fixation) is affected early in the glaucomatous process, and the CCP can miss and/or underestimate the damage. Second, use of the typical VF and OCT metrics underestimates the degree of agreement between structural (OCT) and functional (VF) damage. Third, resolution of the OCT scan has improved, and local glaucomatous damage can be visualized like never before. However, the clinication of the VF test pattern and OCT protocol, replacement of metrics with a comparison of abnormal regions on VF and OCT, and careful inspection of actual OCT scan images. In principle, the CCP could be modified easily. In practice, change is facing a number of impediments.

Keywords: glaucoma, OCT, visual field

• Early glaucomatous damage can involve the macula and central vision.

 The region within 8 degrees of fixation contains 30% of the retinal ganglion cells

 10-2 visual fields and macular scans are necessary to find earlier glaucoma.

How does the macula figure in Glaucoma?

- The majority of the ganglion cell population is present in the macula
- Glaucoma is a disease with marked loss of the retinal ganglion cells.
- Macular damage in glaucoma may be more common than previously realized.
- Macular damage is typically arcuate and associated with local RNFL thinning in the macular vulnerability zone.



Macular Vulnerability Zone



NIH Public Access

Prog Retin Eve Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 April 01.

Published in final edited form as: Prog Retin Eye Res. 2013 January ; 32C: 1–21. doi:10.1016/j.preteyeres.2012.08.003.

Glaucomatous damage of the macula

Donald C. Hood^{a,b,*,1}, Ali S. Raza^{a,c,1}, Carlos Gustavo V. de Moraes^{d,e,1}, Jeffrey M. Liebmann^{d,e,1}, and Robert Ritch^{d,f,1} ^aDepartment of Psychology, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027-7004, USA ^bDepartment of Ophthalmology, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027-7004, USA ^cDepartment of Neurobiology and Behavior, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA ^dEinhorn Clinical Research Center, New York Eye and Ear Infirmary, New York, NY, USA ^eDepartment of Ophthalmology, New York University, New York, NY, USA ^eDepartment of Ophthalmology, New York University, New York, NY, USA ^fDepartment of Ophthalmology and Visual Science, New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY, USA

Abstract

There is a growing body of evidence that early glaucomatous damage involves the macula. The anatomical basis of this damage can be studied using frequency domain optical coherence tomography (fdOCT), by which the local thickness of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) and local retinal ganglion cell plus inner plexiform (RGC+) layer can be measured. Based upon averaged fdOCT results from healthy controls and patients, we show that: 1. For healthy controls, the average RGC+ laver thickness closely matches human histological data; 2. For glaucoma patients and suspects, the average RGC+ layer shows greater glaucomatous thinning in the inferior retina (superior visual field (VF)); and 3. The central test points of the 6° VF grid (24-2 test pattern) miss the region of greatest RGC+ thinning. Based upon fdOCT results from individual patients, we have learned that: 1. Local RGC+ loss is associated with local VF sensitivity loss as long as the displacement of RGCs from the foveal center is taken into consideration; and 2. Macular damage is typically arcuate in nature and often associated with local RNFL thinning in a narrow region of the disc, which we call the macular vulnerability zone (MVZ). According to our schematic model of macular damage, most of the inferior region of the macula projects to the MVZ, which is located largely in the inferior quadrant of the disc, a region that is particularly susceptible to glaucomatous damage. A small (cecocentral) region of the inferior macula, and all of the superior macula (inferior VF), project to the temporal quadrant, a region that is less susceptible to damage. The overall message is clear; clinicians need to be aware that glaucomatous damage to the macula is common, can occur early in the disease, and can be missed and/or underestimated with standard VF tests that use a 6° grid, such as the 24-2 VF test.

Keywords

Glaucoma; OCT; Macula; Retinal ganglion cell; Visual field



High-tech Mythbusting: Glaucoma and the Macula (reviewofophthalmology.com)

Macular Vulnerability Zone

- Because the fovea is located inferior to the ONH, there are more ganglion cells projecting to the superior ONH than the inferior ONH. This is thinnest in the inferior temporal region of the ONH
- This area is susceptible to cecocentral visual field defects that may be missed by 24-2 or 30-2 testing as the test points are 6 degrees apart.



Classic structure/function curve

Structure and Function in Glaucoma





- We may not be testing patients appropriately.
 - 24-2 and 30-2 strategy misses a lot of the central visual field
 - Central field loss can be missed with those strategies as they use a 6-degree grid.

If the patient has a clear 24-2 and you still suspect visual field loss, run a 10-2 field.

- VF loss can happen in early disease
 - Previously it was thought only to occur in later stages
- This is why structure AND function must BOTH be monitored.

24C visual field strategy

• Run with a SITA Faster algorithm

• Contains SOME central points that were designed to pick up glaucomatous loss. Not as many central points as a 10-2 visual field.



 If central points are found, it is best to run a 10-2 test to ascertain the extent of the central defect

24-2C Visual Field Testing: Glaucoma Management's Paradigm Shift? mivision

Conventional Recommendations-How many fields per year? Baseline

World Glaucoma Association

Progression of Glaucoma

Robert N. Weinreb, David F. Garway-Heath, Christopher Leung. Jonathan G. Crowston, Felipe A. Medeiros

Consensus Series - 8

2 reliable fields in the first 6 months
At least 2 VF in the next 18 months if low risk of disability
4 in the next 18 mnths if high risk of disability^{1,2}
Longitudinal

Employ an "Adaptive" test strategy

 Adapt testing based on the context of the patient
 i.e. test intervals shortened if progression suspected
 Increasing testing >2 VFs/yr has not decreased time to
 detection

Slide Courtesy of Andrew Rixon, OD, FAAO

WGA Consensus Series 8. Progression of Glaucoma. 2011 2)Wu Z et al. *Ophthalmology* 2017;124:786-792
 Chauhan B, et al. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2008;92:569–573 4) Melchior B, et al. *J Glaucoma*. 2023 Sep 1;32(9):721-724
 Sabouri S, et al. *J Glaucoma*. 2023 May 1;32(5):355-360

Case 1

- 55 year old white male.
- exam in our clinic
- Medical history: HTN
- Ocular history: None

• Entering acuity: 20/20 OD/OS

- Presents for his first eye
 Entrance testing normal
 - IOP: 17/18 @ 2:20 pm

• Pt was dilated.....















Software Version: 5.4.6

www.MeidelbergEngineering.com

Asymmetry Analysis Single Exam Report OU



Threshold Grayfore	Threshold (dll)	Total Deviation	Faltern Deviation
09-10-2012 S/TA-Stenderd	GHT Bodefipe	T.	53 m
1.	12/2/2/2/2	12222 1222	
St			

Selection Contents of the	********	2	
Contraction	20131725		
All PARTY AND	********		
	a x a a x x		
Descent second	1 3 3 1		201 CO.
Founa OFF	VFI 985	FL:1/14 FN	03. FP 33.
MD +0.07 dB	PSD: 1.66-68		
09-17-2013 S(TA-Standard	GHT: Borderlipe	Low	Test Reliability *** 6.3 m
terri di Annoni		1000	10 CT - 200
1.			
5 XXX 33	*********		
	· ·······	8	
		*	
The second se	2233		ш
Forma OFF	VF: 001	PL 4/13 / PN	23 PP 03
VD -0.49 dB	PSD: 1.86 dB P < 10%		
12-30-2013 S/TA-Standard	GHT Dorderlipe	1	58 m
And Address		222.00	
200			

	2		
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	2 303303307	9 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u><u><u></u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>
	ALTERDAT		

The second second	8788		
Found OFF	VFI SES	FL:1/16 FN	3% FP 2%
V01.34.66	PSD: 1.96-88 P < 10X		
			VA MEDICAL CENTER 718 SM1TH R0 SRD FLOOR MANCHESTER, NH 03104 603-624-4366
			026230231025236
£ < 23.			
B C 12			= 2010 Can Zelea Meditec
<0.5x			HFA II 750-13897-5.1



Central 10-2 Threshold Test

Fixation N	Nor	itor	: G	aze	e/B	linc	I Sp	ot		Sti	mulus	: III, ¹	White	e			Pupil Diameter: 5.7 mm				r: 5.7	mm	m Date: 01-08-2015				
Fixation T	Tar	get:	Ce	entr	al					Ba	ckgro	und:	31.5	AS	в		Visual Acuity:					Time: 8:54 AM					
Fixation L	os	ses	: 1	/15	5					Str	ategy	SIT	A-S	tand	lar	ł		RX:	+3	.00 DS	1	DC X	Age: 67				
False PO	SE	rrol		1	%														100								
	3 6	rro		0	0/																						
Toot Duro			1.	16	10						t												+				
rest Dura	nio	n. u	4.4	+0						33	29									:	eri:	::::::					
Fovea: Of	FF																										
								31	31	32	- 31	28	30						•.		222		: fililitati tililitati da c				
							33	32	34	32	32	32	33	34	L				.÷:	1919-11 1							
							12200		S. (77)		1	0.0150	00000	100				•.	111				: Lasta de lasta de las				
							32	33	34	33	34	34	32	31				.:	111		::::	(11)) (11)					
					~		22	20	95	75	24	22	22	24		20			: : :								
				10	+		22	22		33	34		20	+		23		+					10				
					33	2	33	33		35	- 35	30	æ	3		æ			1								
							35	35	33	34	32	32	32	31				÷	:::								
											Ī.,	~	~	~				•	1				- Inden de la desta de la d				
							33	32	32	32	31	32	31	34							e de	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e					
								33	32	33	- 31	33	30							-1:1:1:1		1999	14000000000000000				
																				· · · · ·		::::::					
					L					30	29						E.										
				3	-1						+					2	-3						+				
		1	0	1	1	-3	-1							() -	1 0	-1	-4	-2								
	2	1	3	1	0	0	1	2						1 ()	1 -1	-1	-1	0	1							
	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	-1					-	1 -1	1	0 -1	0	0	-1	-3							
	1	-1	2	2	1	-1	0	-2	-2				0	0 -2	2 (0 0	-1	-2	-1	-3 -3							
2	0	0	0	-1	-1	-3	-1	-2	0				1 -	1 -1	-	1 -2	-2	-5	-2	-3 -1							
	3	2	0	1	-1	-1	-1	-1						1 () -	1 -1	-3	-2	-2	-2							
	1	0	0	-1	-2	-1	-1	0						0 -1	-3	2 -2	-3	-2	-3	-1							
		1	0	1	-1	1	-2							C) -	1 -1	-2	0	-3			MD) +0.09 dB				
				-1	-3											-2	-4					PSI	D 1.34 dB				
			То	tal	Dev	iati	on								Pa	tterr	De	via	tion	1.5							
				- Cui	1	icit	on									tion	1	e i ca	aon								
																2	•										
		•	ં	•		•	•							•	•	•	•	\$2	•								
		•		•	۲	•	•	•					1	•	: . .		•		•	•							
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•						•	•	•	•	•	•	•							
_fi	•		•	•	-			•				- 1					•	•	•	:: •							
	•	•	•	•	•	::		•					• •	• •	<u>-</u>	•	•	芨	•	:: ·							
	•	•				•	•	•						• •					•								
	•						•										::		•	200							
						•	•																MEDICAL OFNITED				
										:: •	< 5%						::					VA	MEDICAL CENTER				
					Į.					2.	< 2%						1					718	8 SMY TH RD 3RD FLOOR				
										18 ·	< 1%											MA	ANCHESTER, NH 03104				
																						603	3-624-4366				
·	-	_				_			-011	10.52.0					_	_	_										
Landard	يل ر	a di		ы.						السبي ا		A	لدار	4.0													
L		_	-	_					_						_		_										
																						© 2	2010 Carl Zeiss Meditec				
																						HF.	A II 750-13897-5.1/5.1				

Know when to use the Grayscale!

side	Normal Limits		Pattern Deviation
24 23 23 25 25 20 26 30 30 23 25 26 31 22 13 19 24 28 33 17 24 27 30 21 23 20 11 15 VFI: 89%	24 22 26 28 24 28 24 24 31 27 21 24 31 24 (0 28 27 24 18 12 22 21 11 21 (0		3.7 m
PSD: 6.14 dB	P (0.5%	FL: 3/16 FN:	8% FP: '6%
GHT: Outside	Normal Limits		4.5 mm
21 21	20 20		
23 24 25	24 25 22		
22 25 28 30	27 25 22 22	::::··· :: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::	
21 22 25 29 32	29 25 19 23	· 林林· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9 18 24 28 31	32 30 (0 26		
17 21 26 28	29 21 21 5		
21 16 (0	10 12 3		
VE1. 94%	110 10	FL: 2/19 FN: 1	0% FP: 1%
SD: 10.27 dB	P < 0.5%		
00.10.27			
GHT: Outside	e Normal Limits		3.7 mm
21 15	18 23		
22 24 2	5 24 23 19	82 ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
21 25 27 2	8 28 24 22 20	27 St :: : · · · ·	2 2 · · · · · · ·
16 21 26 28 3	30 28 21 25		28
(0 15 19 29 3	9 28 20 21 6		
7 18 (0 (0 16 (0		
(0	4 0 40		ED OF
		FL: 1/17 FN: 0	% FF: 0%
VFI: 80% PSD: 10.84 df	3 P < 0.5%		VA MEDICAL CENTER 718 SMYTH RD 3RD FLOOR MANCHESTER, NH 03104 603-624-4366

	an . Outside Normal Limits		-	
and where				3.7 mm
AAAAAA AAAAAA	24 23 24 22		• •	
States and a state of the state	23 25 25 26 28 24			
	23 25 28 21 20 20 28 28 24 24	\$2 ··· ·	••••• 🖉 🕫	
22.44.000 · · · · · · · ·	13 19 24 28 33 31 27 21 24		· II II · · · ·	••••
	17 24 27 30 27 24 19 12	25		11 · · · ·
Contraction of the second	21 23 20 22 21 11			
	11 15 21 (0			
NAME OFF				
	VFI: 89%	FL: 3/16	FN: 8%	FP: 6%
0. 4.00 ub P (0.0%	PSD: 0.14 dB P < 0.5%			
7-03-2012 SITA-Standard	GHT: Outside Normal Limita			
	Citric outoide Normal Limits	1		4.5 mm
And	21 21 20 20			
	23 24 25 24 25 22		• • •	
	22 25 28 30 27 25 22 22		:: 魏 :: • •	• • • • :: •
	21 22 25 29 32 29 25 19 23	· 放放···	2 2	
	9 18 24 28 31 32 30 (0 26			
	21 15 (0 (0 12 3			
	(0 6 (0 (0			
FOLVOR OFF	VEL 049	EL 2/10		
MD: -8.30 dB P < 0.5%	PSD: 10.27 dB P < 0.5%	FL. 2/19	FIN: 0 %	FF: 1%
11-29-2012 SITA-Standard	GHT: Outside Normal Limits	-		1
and the second				3.7 mm
224 (224) 244 (224)	21 19 18 23		•	• :: •
	22 24 25 24 23 19	82	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	21 25 27 28 28 24 22 20	2 3 ·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	(0 15 19 29 32 32 27 (0 21			· · · 35
	0 12 20 29 28 20 21 6			
	7 18 (0 (0 16 (0			
	(0 4 0 (0			
Fovea: OFF	VFI: 80%	FL: 1/17	FN: 0% F	P: 0%
MD: -9.73 dB P < 0.5%	PSD: 10.84 dB P < 0.5%			
			VA MEDICAL	CENTER
			718 SMYTH R	D 3RD FLOOR
			603-624-400	R. NH 03104
:: < 5%			000 024-438	0
₩ < 2%			0 2010 0	
1% 1%			HFA 750-14	elss Meditec
C0.5%				001-0.1